Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

International Journal of Oral Health Dentistry

Journal homepage: www.ijohd.org

Original Research Article

Clinical and radiological aspects of mandibular fractures: A review of 128 cases

Mouhammad Kane¹, Babacar Tamba^{1,*}, Catherine Bintou Gassama¹,
Mamadou Diatta¹, Abdou Ba¹, Alpha Kounta¹, Abdel Majid Boucaid²,
Ndeye Fatou Kebe², Soukeye Dia Tine¹

¹Dept. of Oral Surgery, Odontology and Stomatology Institute, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Senegal²Dept. of Odontostomatology, General Hospital Idrissa Pouye, Dakar, Senegal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 02-10-2021

Accepted 16-10-2021

Available online 28-12-2021

Keywords:

Mandibular fractures

Clinical aspects

Radiological aspects

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The objective was to study the epidemiological, clinical and radiological aspects of patients with mandibular fractures in the odontostomatology department at the General hospital Idrissa Pouye in Senegal.

Materials and Methods: This was a retrospective study of the records of patients who came for a mandibular fracture during the period from February 2007 to June 2019 to the odontostomatology department of the General hospital Idrissa Pouye in Dakar. The inclusion criterion was any patient file containing complete information and presenting a mandibular fracture received in the odontostomatology department. We used sociodemographic, clinical and radiographic variables.

Results: Of 128 patients who came for consultation with a mandibular fracture, 115 were male and 13 were female. The age group most affected was between 21 and 30 years. Workers (25.2%), pupils and students (25.2%) were the most represented. The most frequent cause of mandibular fractures was road traffic accidents (36.71%). In 41% of the road accidents, Jakarta mopeds were involved. The diagnosis was confirmed by radiological examination, which consisted of an orthopantomogram in 83.5% of cases. The mandibular symphysis was the most frequent location with 40.3% of fracture sites. Total continuity of the lines was observed in 21.9% of cases.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Mandibular fractures are an important part of maxillofacial trauma, which is becoming increasingly common. They may constitute a medical emergency due to the haemorrhage or glossoptosis (tongue obstructing the upper airway) that they may cause.¹ They are a frequent reason for consultation at the odontostomatology department of the General Hospital Idrissa Pouye,² which receives other facial trauma patients referred by practitioners from other regions of Senegal.

The objective was to study the epidemiological, clinical and radiological aspects of patients with mandibular fractures in the odontostomatology department at the General Hospital Idrissa Pouye in Senegal.

2. Material and Methods

This was a retrospective study, which involved patients who came for a mandibular fracture, during the period from February 2007 to June 2019. The study was based on the analysis of the records of patients treated for a mandible fracture at the odontostomatology department of the General Hospital Idrissa Pouye in Dakar. To be included in the study,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: babacartamba@yahoo.fr (B. Tamba).

each file had to contain information on socio-demographic data, circumstances of occurrence, clinical and radiological aspects. For each file included, we noted sociodemographic variables, clinical and radiological variables. Word, Excel and SPSS 13.0 software were used to analyse the data, for the calculation of frequencies, means, standard deviations and percentages.

3. Results

Of the 128 patients who came to the clinic with a mandibular fracture, 115 were male and 13 were female, giving a sex ratio of 8.84.

The age group most concerned was between 21 and 30 years with a mean age of 25.72 ± 11.4 years and extremes between 4 and 76 years (Figure 1).

Fig. 1: Distribution of patients by 10-year age groups

Workers (25.2%), pupils and students (25.2%) were the most represented (Table 1).

The most frequent cause of mandibular fractures was road accidents (36.71%).

“Jakarta” mopeds were involved in 41% of road accidents (Table 2).

Table 1: Distribution of the population by occupation

Profession	Effectifs (n)	Percentage (%)
Workers	31	25.2
Peasants	2	1.52
Students	31	25.2
Sportsmen	3	2.43
Drivers	2	1.62
Officials	8	6.5
Housewives	4	3.3
unemployed	27	22
Tradespeople	14	11.4
Undefined	6	4.6
Total	128	100

The diagnosis was confirmed by radiological examination, which consisted of an orthopantomogram (dental panorama) in 83.5% of cases, followed by the lower face (26.8%) and the maxilla parade (21.3%) (Figure 2).

Table 2: Distribution of the population by etiology

Etiology	Effectifs (n)	Percentage (%)
Road accident	47	36.7
Brawls or assaults	36	28.1
Falls	17	13.3
Hoof or head blow d'animaux	4	3.1
Accidents at work	3	2.3
Domestic accidents	4	3.1
Wisdom tooth avulsion	2	1.5
Total	128	100

The mandibular symphysis was the most frequent location with 40.3% of fracture sites, followed by the mandibular angle (39.5%), then the corpus (25.6%) (Figure 3).

Unifocal fractures accounted for 77.5% of cases, followed by bifocal forms (20.2%) (Table 3).

Full line continuity was observed in 22% of cases and partial line 78%.

This loss of occlusion involved the anterior sector, the posterior sector or both (Figure 3).

Fig. 2: Distribution of the population by type of imaging

Fig. 3: Distribution of the population by fracture site

Table 3: Distribution of the population by number of fracture lines

Number of lines	Effectifs	Percentage (%)
One	99	77.34
Two	26	20.3
Three and more	3	2.34
Total	128	100

Fig. 4: Sample distribution by site of bite loss

4. Discussion

The number of cases received (128 over twelve years) is down from the 2009 study (103 over four years).² This could be explained by the presence of oral surgery specialists in regions outside the capital.

Mandibular fractures can occur at any age. In our study, the age group most affected is between 21 and 30 years with a mean age of 25.72 ± 11.4 and extremes of 4 and 76 years.

The high frequency of mandibular fractures in this age group could be explained by the high level of physical and professional activity at this period of life and the clear predominance of young people in the age pyramid in Senegal.² Our results are similar to studies from Taiwan,³ Egypt,⁴ Cameroon⁵ and India⁶ where the most affected age group was between 20 and 30 years.

In our study, the male sex was the most represented with a sex ratio of 8.84. This male predominance was found in all studies with prevalences ranging from 78.3% in Pakistan,⁷ 83.3% in Canada⁸, 87.05% in India⁹ and 89.29% in Benin.⁸ However, in India, Chen found a slight male predominance of 55.4% related to their high-risk activity.³

This could be explained by the fact that males are more exposed to various etiological factors of mandibular fractures, particularly road traffic accidents,

brawls, assaults, games and violent sports. Women were more exposed to falls and slips during domestic work.²

Workers, students and the unemployed were the most represented as reported in the study by Dia Tine in Senegal.² The strong predominance of workers is thought to be due to the non-respect of safety conditions required in the workplace, especially on building and infrastructure construction sites, which have been expanding in recent years. On the other hand, studies by Kontio in Finland and Oikarinen in Canada have shown that sportsmen and women are more prone to mandibular fractures.^{9,10}

The aetiologies of mandibular fractures vary according to geographical, demographic and socioeconomic context. In our series, public road accidents were the most frequent etiology of mandibular fractures with 36.71% followed by fights and assaults (28.1%). The frequency of these road accidents could be explained by :

1. The proliferation of mopeds used as a means of transport in the delivery sector and whose young drivers, most often without a driving license, do not wear helmets.
2. The failure of road users and drivers to respect the highway code and to wear seat belts.
3. The obsolescence of the vehicle fleet and the poor quality of the roads.

Our results are in line with those of Bouguila,¹¹ El Ghani,¹² Srinivasan,¹³ Jadhav,¹⁴ and Trigo who found rates varying between 45% and 86%.¹⁵

Moreover, the increase in cases of interpersonal violence and brawls in our series could be related to the change in the behaviour of Senegalese society, which has become violent and aggressive, or to the growing insecurity in certain underprivileged areas. In several countries, such as France, Canada and Madagascar, acts of interpersonal violence (brawls and assaults) represent the main etiology of mandibular fractures with respectively 57%, 53.3% and 55.8%.^{10,16,17}

Falls account for 13.3% of aetiologies. According to Dia Tine,² falls from the top of a tree are a Senegalese peculiarity, generally occurring in rural areas during the fruit-picking season.

This relatively low percentage in this study could be explained by the installation of more oral surgery specialists in the interior of the country, but also by the organisation of training sessions for the management of mandibular fractures.

The diagnosis was confirmed by a radiological examination consisting of an orthopantomogram in 83.5% of cases, followed by the lower face (26.8%) and the maxillary arch (21.3%). The predominance of the orthopantomogram is explained by its availability at the Hogip odontostomatology department as of 2016.

Dia Tine had recommended combining face-down and maxillary defilement views to properly visualise mandibular

fracture patterns in both the front and side views.²

Mandibular fractures were most frequent in the symphyseal and parasymphiseal regions (40.3%), followed by those in the mandibular angle (39.5%).

Our results are similar to those of Givony in Lithuania,¹⁸ Pogon in Benin,⁸ Trigo in Congo,¹⁵ Dia Tine² and Srinivasan¹³ where the respective rates were 35.71%, 31.3% and 55.3%. Indeed, due to its prominent position, the mandible constitutes one of the bumpers of the face.

There is probably a correlation between the parasympathetic location of the fracture and road traffic accidents where the impact is more frequently received on the chin by direct impact.¹⁶

Other authors such as Bouguila, Sakr and Anyanechi found that the most frequent location was the mandibular angle with respective rates of 24.4%, 22% and 31.3%.^{4,11,19} Indeed, according to Krimmel and Fuselier the probability of having an angle fracture increases in the presence of an impacted or impacted wisdom tooth.^{20,21}

Unifocal fractures were the most common with 77.3%. This predominance was found in the studies of Razafindrabe and Mala with rates of 77.32% and 50.5% respectively.^{17,22} Bifocal fractures were found in 20.3%. Razafindrabe found a similar result of 21.76%.¹⁷ Rocton in a series of 563 cases of mandibular fractures found 32% of bifocal fractures involving the angular and symphyseal regions.¹⁶

The diversity of clinical forms of mandibular fractures is explained by the complexity of the interacting factors. Indeed, Razafindrabe has shown that the point and force of impact as well as the ability to absorb forces in the bone significantly influence the clinical form.¹⁷

According to Touré, when the point of impact is on the chin, the fracture first occurs in the symphysis region and then the abrupt retropulsion of the mandible pushing the condyles into the glenoid cavity results in a condylar fracture.²³ When there is a lateral impact at the angle, the mandible tends to close, most often resulting in an angle fracture coupled with a symphyseal fracture.

In symphyseal fractures, bilateral forms may result in a downward and backward displacement of the symphyseal region, with a risk of posterior fall of the tongue; whose genioglossal muscles insert on the geni processes, thus potentially causing respiratory distress.²⁴

Oblique fracture lines were more represented with 67.96% while vertical orientation was 32% of cases.

Fracture patterns in the mandible depend on the magnitude of the force, and the density of the bone which is more compact compared to the maxilla.

The vertical orientation is often related to the general axis of the teeth which are implanted in a socket with often thinned cortices.

The orientation of the fracture line determines whether the fragment is mobile or not, which will determine the risk of secondary displacement and symptomatology.²⁴

Displacement is strongly linked to the action of the lift muscles, which cause the posterior fragment to rise, while the depressor muscles lower the anterior fragment, creating a step-like displacement.²⁵

In this study, fracture with partial continuity was found in 78.1% of cases, while total continuity was found in 21.9%.

Fractures with full continuity are the cause of most joint losses due to the displacement they cause in terms of overlap, angulation and torsion.²⁶

In our series, 23.43% of patients had a loss of occlusion.

This loss of dental articulation depends on the condition of the soft tissue surrounding the area and the direction in which the masticatory muscles pull the fractured bone fragments.²⁷

5. Conclusion

Mandibular fracture is a frequent reason for consultation in the odontostomatology department of the idrissa Pouye general hospital. It can be considered as a medical emergency because of the haemorrhage, but also because of the respiratory disorders that can result from it. In our results, road accidents were the most frequent cause of mandibular fractures with 36.71%.

The diagnosis of a mandibular fracture was mainly confirmed by a panoramic radiograph or a low-face incidence associated with a maxillary defilement, which are the basic radiographs in mandibular traumatology. They were also the most accessible. Mandibular fractures were most frequent in the symphyseal and parasymphiseal regions. Unifocal fractures were the most common.

6. Source of Funding

None.

7. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Susarla SM, Swanson EW, Peacock ZS. Bilateral mandibular fractures. *Eplasty*. 2014;14:ic38.
2. Tine SD, Tamba B, Niang P, Gassama BC, Kébé NF, Guèye I, et al. Fractures of the mandible in odontological practice: about 103 cases. *Med Bucc Chir Bucc*. 2009;15(3):137.
3. Chen YT, Chiu YW, Chang YC, Lin CW. Ten-year retrospective study on mandibular fractures in central Taiwan. *J Int Med Res*. 2020;48(7):1–8.
4. Sakr K, Farag IA, Zeitoun IM. Review of 509 mandibular fractures treated at the university hospital. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2006;44:107–11.
5. Keubou B, Dsongwa K, Bengondo M. Epidemiological and clinical aspects of mandibular fractures treated by orthopedic procedures at the district hospital of Kumba, Cameroon. *J Med and Health Sci*. 2020;21(6):52–5.
6. Girish V, Sikkerimath BC, Anu J. Mandibular fractures: A 10 years retrospective study. *Asian J Dent Sci*. 2020;3(2):20–5.

7. Sahd R, Kundi J, Amna S, Qureshi AU, Khan A. Patterns of mandibular fractures and associated comorbidities in Peshawar. *Cureus*. 2019;11(9):e5753.
8. Pognon SB, Biotchane I, Akpovi GD. Management of mandibular fractures at Saint Luc Hospital in Cotonou (Benin). *Med Bucc Chir Bucc*. 2013;19(2):85–9.
9. Kontio R, Surmen R, Ponkkinen H, Lindquist C, Laine P. Have the causes of maxillo-facial fractures changed over the last 16 years in Finland? an epidemiological study of 725 fractures. *Dent Traumatol*. 2005;21:9–14.
10. Oikarinen K, Schutz P, Thalib L, Sandor GKB, Clokie G. Differences in the etiology of mandibular fractures in Kuwait, Canada and Finland. *Dent Traumatol*. 2004;20:241–6.
11. Bouguila J, Zairi I, Khonsari RH, Lankriet C, Mokhtar M, Adouani A. Epidemiology of maxillofacial trauma in Tunis. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*. 2008;109(6):353–7.
12. Elgehani RA, Orafi MI. Incidence of mandibular fractures in eastern Lybia. *Med Oral Pathol Oral Chir Bucc*. 2009;14(10):529–32.
13. Srinivasan B, Balakrishna R, Sudarshan H, Veena GC, Prabhakar S. Retrospective analysis of 162 mandibular fractures: an institutional experience. *Ann Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;9(1):124–8.
14. Jadhav A, Mundada B, Deshmukh R, Bhutekar U, Kala A, Waghvani K, et al. Mandibular ramus fracture: An overview of rare anatomical subsite. *Hind Pub Corp Plas Surg Int*. 2015;doi:10.1155/2015/954314.
15. Trigo ER, Dibansa O, Mabika B. Isolated mandibular fractures in Brazzaville: about 249 cases. *J Med Sci Health*. 2020;21(4):70–3.
16. Rocton S, Chainé A, Ernenwein D, Bertolus C, Rigolet A, Bertrand JC, et al. Fracture of the mandible: epidemiology, therapeutic management and complication of a series of 563 cases. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*. 2007;108(1):3–12.
17. Razafindrabe JAB, Rakotoarisoa AHN, Rakoto FA, Randriamanantenasoah VH, Rakotozafy LF, Rakotovo JD. Epidemiology of mandibular fractures treated at the University Hospital of Antananarivo Madagascar. *Rev Trop Chir*. 2007;1:33–5.
18. Givony S. Mandibular fractures, diagnostics, postoperative complications. *Med Sci*. 2020;8(13):45–52.
19. Anyanechi CE. Mandibular fractures associated with domestic violence in Calabar, Nigeria. *Ghan Med J*. 2010;44(4):155–8.
20. Krimmel M, Reinert S. Mandibular fracture after third molar removal. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2000;58(10):1110–2.
21. Fuselier JC, Ellis E, Dodson B. Do mandibular third molars alter the risk of angle fracture? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2002;60(5):514–22.
22. Mala A, Messina E, Mindja E, Bombah F, Bengondo C. Clinical profile and treatment of mandibular fractures in Yaoundé. *J Med and Health Sci*. 2020;21(6):52–5.
23. Touré G, Meningand JP, Bertrand JC. Fractures of the mandible. *Encycl Med Chir Med Dent*. 2004;22:10–5.
24. Duhamel P, Gauthier J, Teyssères N, Giraud O, Denhez F, Bey E. Examination of a facial trauma patient. *Encycl Med Chir Med Bucc*. 2009;28:25.
25. Marwan H, Sawatari Y. What Is the most Stable fixation technique for mandibular condyle fracture? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;77(12).
26. Gola R, Cheynet F. Fracture of the mandible. *Encycl Med Chir, Stomatol*. 1994;22:10–20.
27. Patrocinio LG, Patrocinio JA, Borba BH, Bonatti S, Pinto LF, Vieira JV, et al. Mandibular fracture: analysis of 293 patients treated in the hospital of clinics, federal university of Uberlândia. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2005;71(5):560–5.

Author biography

Mouhammad Kane, Assistant Professor

Babacar Tamba, Professor <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5680-9058>

Catherine Bintou Gassama, Assistant Professor

Mamadou Diatta, Assistant Professor

Abdou Ba, Assistant Professor

Alpha Kounta, Assistant Professor

Abdel Majid Boucaid, Dentist

Ndeye Fatou Kebe, Dentist

Soukeye Dia Tine, Professor

Cite this article: Kane M, Tamba B, Gassama CB, Diatta M, Ba A, Kounta A, Boucaid AM, Kebe NF, Tine SD. Clinical and radiological aspects of mandibular fractures: A review of 128 cases. *Int J Oral Health Dent* 2021;7(4):282-286.