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Asymmetric expansion: An innovative approach
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ABSTRACT

Practitioners select expansion treatment appliances based on their personal experiences and the patient’s age and malocclusion. Many attempts have been made to produce greater expansion in the maxillary canine regions. Conventional devices for rapid maxillary expansion (RME) are limited to one direction, along the axis of the expansion screw, and thus cannot provide differential expansion. In this case report, we aimed to expand the maxillary arch asymmetrically according to the requirement of the case.
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1. Introduction

Maxillary expansion treatments have been used for more than a century to correct maxillary transverse deficiency. The earliest common cited report is that of E.C. Angell published in Dental Cosmos in 1860.1 Practitioners select treatment appliances based on their personal experiences and on the patient’s age and malocclusion.2 During treatment, transverse forces tip the buccal segments laterally and with proper appliance design, 3rd-order moments will induce bodily translation.3 Many attempts have been made to produce greater expansion in the maxillary canine regions of CLP patients by changing the design of conventional expanders. Three expansion treatment modalities are used today: rapid maxillary expansion (RME), slow maxillary expansion (SME) and surgically assisted maxillary expansion.1 Traditionally, adult patients with mild to moderate transverse discrepancies are treated with a combination of slow maxillary expansion with palatal appliances and expanded archwires.4 The primary goal for this innovation was to expand the maxillary arch asymmetrically according to the requirement and together with fixed appliance.

2. Fabrication and Activation

On the working model prepared using Dental Stone, a conventional jackscrew was placed on the mid palatal suture in premolar and molar region. The arrow for activation was placed anteroposteriorly for easy compliance of the individual. Appropriate sized bands were placed on the 1st molars. A wire work on each side contouring onto the cervical aspect of the 1st molar, 2nd premolar and 1st premolar was made using stainless steel round wires. The gauge of wire used on both side were different with heavier wire (18 gauge) on the side requiring more expansion and lighter wire (22 gauge) on the other. Both the wire component and the jackscrew were connected using self cure acrylic and the whole appliance was soldered with the molar bands for its stability in the oral cavity (Figure 1).

The appliance fabricated was then cemented in the patient’s maxillary arch along with bonding rest of the maxillary arch dentition using 0.022” slot MBT bracket system. Patient was asked to activate the screw at the rate of 1 turn/day for two weeks. After a follow up and religation of the archwire, the patient was further instructed to activate the same for another 2 weeks to get the desired amount of expansion.

On evaluating the pre and stage models it was found that a total of 6mm expansion was achieved in both premolar and
molar regions, with 4mm on the right side and 2mm on the left. Crowding was fully relieved and a space of 2mm was available in between the 1st premolar and 1st molar of the 2nd quadrant which was further consolidated by protraction of the molar keeping in mind the midline (Figure 2).

3. Advantages

1. The use of jackscrew for expansion was cost effective and fulfilled the intent of slow expansion.
2. Different gauge wires produced different amount of forces on the dentition causing asymmetric amount of expansion as required for the individual.
3. Subsequent bonding of the arch also helped in simultaneous leveling and aligning reducing the treatment time in total.
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